A new tiny dromaeosaurid dinosaur from the Lower Cretaceous Jehol Group of western Liaoning and niche differentiation among the Jehol dromaeosaurids
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Abstract The Early Cretaceous Jehol dromaeosaurids are taxonomically and morphologically diverse, and one of them, Microraptor zhaoianus, has been suggested to be among the smallest known non-avialan theropods. However, this idea is based on specimens of relatively early ontogenetic stages, and the lower limit of the mature body mass of Jehol dromaeosaurids thus remains unknown. Here we describe a new dromaeosaurid, Zhongjianosaurus yangi gen. et sp. nov., based on a specimen from the Lower Cretaceous Yixian Formation (the middle section of the Jehol Group) from Sihedang, Lingyuan County, Liaoning in Northeast China. While this new taxon is referable to the Microraptorinae, it differs from other microraptorine dromaeosaurids in numerous features, most notably the fusion of proportionally long uncinate processes to dorsal ribs, a humerus with a strongly medially offset proximal end and a large fenestra within the deltopectoral crest, an ulna slightly longer than the humerus, and an arctometatarsalian pes. Most significantly, the estimated 0.31 kg mass of the Z. yangi holotype of an adult individual confirms that some Jehol dromaeosaurids are among the smallest known non-avialan theropods. Our preliminary analysis demonstrates niche differentiation among the Jehol dromaeosaurids, a phenomenon rarely reported among Mesozoic dinosaurian faunas.
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1 Introduction

The dromaeosaurids are a group of maniraptoran theropods with a globally distributed fossil record, and their earliest known representatives are from the Lower Cretaceous Jehol Group of western Liaoning, China (Xu et al., 1999; Xu, 2002; Senter et al., 2004; Turner et
al., 2012). To date, eight dromaeosaurid species have been reported from the Jehol Group (Xu et al., 1999, 2000, 2003; Liu et al., 2004; Xu and Wang, 2004; Zheng et al., 2010; Han et al., 2014; Lü and Brusatte, 2015), though the validities of some of these species have been questioned (Senter, 2007; Turner et al., 2012). Nevertheless, these species and specimens document the presence of a high diversity and a great morphological disparity early in dromaeosaurid evolution. Here, we add to this surprisingly high diversity by reporting a new species based on a specimen recently recovered from the lake deposits in Sihedang, Lingyuan County, western Liaoning. Although the Sihedang exposures have been assigned to the Yixian Formation in the middle section of the Jehol Group (Zhou et al., 2014; Hu and O’Connor, 2016), this assignment needs confirmation by additional stratigraphical, paleontological, and chronological data. In the present paper, we identify the three maniraptoran manual digits as II-III-IV, following the numbering for the wing digits of living theropods in most ornithological literature and some recent paleontological studies (Feduccia, 1999; Xu et al., 2009a)

2 Systematic paleontology

**Theropoda Marsh, 1881**

**Tetanurae Gauthier, 1986**

**Dromaeosauridae Matthew & Brown, 1922**

*Zhongjianosaurus yangi* gen. et sp. nov.  
(Figs. 1–3; Table 1)

**Etymology** The genus name and specific epithet are in honor of Yang Zhongjian (C.C. Young), who is the founder of vertebrate paleontology in China.

**Holotype** IVPP V 22775 (housed at the Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Beijing), a partial semi-articulated postcranial skeleton preserved in a slab.

**Locality and horizon** Sihedang, Lingyuan County, Liaoning Province, China. Possibly Yixian Formation, Aptian, Cretaceous (Swisher et al., 2001).

**Diagnosis** A tiny microraptorine theropod distinguishable from other microraptorines in the following autapomorphies: proportionally long ossified uncinate processes fused to dorsal ribs, widely arched furcula with slender and posteriorly curved clavicular rami, humeral proximal end strongly offset medially from humeral shaft, humeral internal tuberosity short, presence of large fenestra within humeral deltopectoral crest, humeral ulnar condyle hypertrophied, ulna slightly longer than humerus, ulnar olecranon process with posterior margin mediolaterally pinched, ulnar distal end bending anteriorly and strongly expanded laterally, proximal end of metacarpal II with strong ventrolateral extension, metacarpal III laterally bowed with longitudinal ventral groove, phalanx II-2 without proximodorsal lip and lacking strong dorsal arching, femoral head stout and lower than trochanteric crest, medial condyle of tibiotarsus distal end with a prominent distal extension, arctometatarsalian pes, and metatarsal II without ginglymus on distal end.
**Description and comparisons**  The holotype is an adult individual based on the following bone fusion features: all preserved vertebrae have closed neurocentral sutures; cervical ribs are fused to their corresponding centra; scapula and coracoid are fused to each other; distal carpal IV is fused to the ‘semilunate’ carpal which in turn is fused to metacarpals II and III; proximal tarsals are fused to each other and to the tibia forming a tibiotarsus; and distal tarsals are fused to metatarsals II-IV and to each other forming a tarsometatarsus. This individual is an extremely small non-avialan theropod (Table 1), and it is estimated to have had a 0.31 kg body mass based on an empirical equation for estimating body mass (Christiansen and Fariña, 2004).

### Table 1  Selected length measurements of IVPP V 22775 (mm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.7/4.4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.4/4.4/4.7/4.8/5.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5 (12.7)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical centra (9–10)</td>
<td>4.7/4.4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.4/4.7/5.0/5.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5 (12.7)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal centra (1–7)</td>
<td>4.7/4.4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.4/4.7/5.0/5.0</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5 (12.7)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal centra (2–27)</td>
<td>4.6/5.1/5.5/6.3/9.3/13.3/14.9/*16.5/*39.4(10–12)/</td>
<td>9.1/8.7/7.9/6.8/6.3</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>*8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sternum</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.4 (9.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scapula</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.4 (9.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humerus</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.4 (9.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulna</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.4 (9.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.4 (9.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metacarpal II</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.4 (9.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: * estimated value, values in () including the keratinous claw sheath.

The four most posterior cervical vertebrae are exposed on the slab. The first one of the series (probably cervical 7) displays an anterior central articular surface which is strongly oblique, transversely convex, and much wider than high, and it has a large neural canal that is much wider than high (Fig. 2A). The cervical rib is fused to this vertebra forming a foramen transversarium, which is level with the centrum. Cervicals 9 and 10 have laterally compressed centra, but otherwise other morphologies are not well preserved.

The dorsal series is represented by seven anterior dorsal vertebrae. The anterior three have strongly laterally compressed centra, and they each bear a hypapophysis, but only that of the first one is prominent, covering the anterior two-thirds of the central ventral surface. The posterior four vertebrae each have a long and shallow centrum that lacks a distinctive pneumatic foramen (Fig. 2B), a feature present in most other Jehol dromaeosaurids (Xu, 2002; Turner et al., 2012). Similar to other Jehol dromaeosaurids (Xu, 2002), the neural spines are posteriorly positioned on the neural arch and have a distal anteroposterior expansion.

Eight left dorsal ribs are preserved largely in place, and five right ones are preserved disarticulated (Fig. 2D). The first one of the left series is the shortest one, measuring about 20 mm, and the dorsal ribs increase their length posteriorly along the series, with the middle ones being the longest (the fourth one measures 39 mm). The posterior ones are short (the eighth one of the series is about 25 mm long). The dorsal ribs all show strong anterior curvature. The distal portions of the anterior four dorsal ribs are considerably thickened for articulation with the sternal ribs.
Five left uncinate processes are preserved extending posterodorsally lateral to the rib cage (Fig. 2D), and each attaches to the posterior margin of a dorsal rib about the level of the proximal two-thirds of the dorsal shaft (on the third to seventh dorsal ribs of the preserved series). They all have an expanded proximal end for the attachment to the rib and a nearly straight shaft. The first uncinate process is the shortest one of the preserved series (measuring 6 mm long), overlapping three dorsal ribs, and the second one is the longest one (14 mm long), covering more than four ribs (proportionally longer than the longest uncinate process in Microraptor) (Xu et al., 2000, 2003; Hwang et al., 2002). The anterior two uncinate processes are fused to their dorsal ribs, a feature previously unknown among non-avialan theropods (Norell and Makovicky, 1999; Zhou and Wang, 2000; Zhou et al., 2000; Clark et al., 2001; Xu et al., 2003) and also rarely reported among Mesozoic birds (Zhou, 2004).

The caudal series of vertebrae are represented by 26 articulated caudal vertebrae, probably missing only the first caudal vertebra (Fig. 2C). Thus, the complete caudal series likely would comprise 27 caudal vertebrae. The preserved caudal series measures 302 mm.
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Fig. 2 Close-ups of selected parts of axial skeleton in *Zhongjianosaurus yangi* holotype
A. cervical vertebrae 7th in anterior view 第7颈椎前侧视; B. dorsal vertebrae 4th to 7th in ventral view 第四至第七背椎(逆时针)腹侧视; C. caudal vertebrae 2nd to 4th in ventral view 第二至第四尾椎腹侧视; D. uncinate process and sternal ribs in ventral view (arrow indicates the sternal ribs) 钩状突和胸肋腹侧视(箭头指示胸肋)

Scale bars equal 5 mm

long, which is 5.1 times as long as the femur (proportionally similar to *Microraptor* and *Tianyuraptor*), but longer than *Sinornithosaurus* and *Changyuraptor* (Han et al., 2014). The articulated tail has elongate rod-like extensions of the prezygapophyses and chevrons characteristic of most dromaeosaurid dinosaurs (Norell and Makovicky, 2004), and these reach almost to the most anterior caudals. The most anterior four caudal vertebrae are short, with the first preserved one (which is probably the anatomically second caudal vertebra) has a centrum about 90% of the length of the sixth dorsal centrum (Fig. 2C). Caudal 6 (the fifth preserved caudal vertebra) is significantly elongated, with a central length 173% of caudal 5. The eighth caudal is among the longest ones of the caudal series, and it measures more than three times as long as caudal 2 (the first preserved one). The centra of the most anterior caudals are box-like, with their transverse widths much greater than dorsoventral depths. The transverse processes of caudal 2 are located on the anterior half of the centrum, are oriented slightly posteriorly, and have a moderate distal expansion in dorsal or ventral view. Those processes of caudals 3–5 are centrally located, and their distal expansions become weaker. Caudal 6 seems to lack transverse processes. The caudal transitional point is thus between caudals 5 and 6, more anterior than in most non-avian theropods (Turner et al., 2012; Godefroit et al., 2013a).
chevron (probably associated between caudals 1 and 2) is a dorsoventrally long plate typical of non-avialan theropods, and it is slightly longer than caudal 2. The other chevrons are expanded anteroposteriorly, with the more posterior ones longer anteroposteriorly.

The sternum is represented by what is probably the left sternal plate. It is large, with a maximum anteroposterior length 56% of the femoral length (48% in the *Microraptor gui* holotype), and is proportionally long axially (axial length/transverse width ratio 2.75, compared to 2.20 in the *M. gui* holotype). The lateral margin of the anterior half of the plate bears a few small processes separated by wide notches, representing the articular facets for the sternal ribs.

Five left sternal ribs are preserved (Fig. 2D), a number greater than in *Microraptor* and *Sinornithosaurus*, which seem to have four pairs of sternal ribs. The sternal ribs are variable in length and thickness. The first one of the series is 6.5 mm long and is similar in thickness to the middle portion of the dorsal ribs, but the other sternal ribs are more robust (the middle ones are the most robust ones). The sternal ribs increase their length posteriorly along the series, with the last one being the longest (25.5 mm long).

The furcula is a widely arched bone, with an intrafurcular angle of approximately 125° (Fig. 3A). It has a maximum transverse width of 28 mm and a maximum depth of 10 mm. The clavicular rami are relatively slender (the mid-length portion is considerably narrower than the narrowest portion of the scapular blade), and they exhibit a constant decrease in width from the synostosis interclavicularis of the two clavicular rami toward their distal ends. As in some unenlagiines (Makovicky et al., 2005), the clavicular rami curve posteriorly.

Both scapulae and coracoids are preserved, and the scapula and coracoid are fused to each other, forming a scapulocoracoid (Fig. 3A). The scapulocoracoid is roughly a L-shaped bone in lateral view, with the long axis of the scapular blade and that of the lateral margin of the coracoid forming a nearly right angle. The scapula is 77% of the humeral length, greater than that in the *M. gui* holotype (64%), and it has a considerable medial curvature. The glenoid fossa has an exposure on the lateral surface of the scapula, as in *Sinornithosaurus* (Xu, 2002). The scapular blade is relatively slender in lateral view but seems to be transversely thick.

The coracoid is a large, bi-planar element as in other Jehol dromaeosaurids, and it has a small proximal ramus contacting the scapula and a large distal ramus that bends posteroventrally (Fig. 3A). The coracoid has an L-shaped thick shaft along its lateral margin, with a prominent coracoid tubercle marking the boundary of the two arms. The proximal ramus has a large supracoracoid foramen medial to the coracoid tubercle. The distal ramus bears a large fossa on its posterior surface within which is a large tear-shaped fenestra. The distal ramus is much longer anteroposteriorly than mediolaterally, a feature similar to many other Jehol dromaeosaurids, but not *Sinornithosaurus* (Xu et al., 1999; Xu, 2002) and *Tianyuraptor* (Zheng et al., 2010). Differing from most other Jehol dromaeosaurids (Xu, 2002), the distal ramus lacks a posterolateral process, and instead, the posterolateral corner of the distal ramus is rounded, possibly a preservational artifact.

The forelimbs are represented by both humeri, the left ulna, the left radius, and a partial
left manus (Fig. 3B–D). The right humerus is 73% of femoral length, with a mid-length shaft diameter the same as that of the femur (Fig. 3B). The proximal end of the humerus is strongly offset from the humeral shaft, with the humeral head located more medially than the medial margin of the humeral shaft. The internal tuberosity has a straight medial margin as in other dromaeosaurs, but it does not extend distally as long as in other Jehol dromaeosaurs (Xu, 2002). The deltopectoral crest is proportionally short (less than 30% of humeral length) as in other basal deinonychosaurians (Xu, 2002), and unusually it exhibits an elliptical fenestra, a feature present in several basal birds such as *Confuciusornis* (Chiappe et al., 1999) and *Sapeornis* (Zhou and Zhang, 2003). There is a degree of torsion between the humeral proximal and distal ends. A prominent condyle occupies the majority of the humeral distal end in anterior view, and it expands significantly anteriorly and laterally. This condyle is combination of a large ulnar condyle and a small entepicondyle, with a proximodistal groove between them.

The ulna is slightly longer than the humerus, having a brachial index (BI=humerus length/ulna length) of 0.98 (Fig. 3C), which is a feature previously unknown in any non-avialan theropod (Benson and Choiniere, 2013). The ulna is close in thickness to the femur. Proximally there is a distinctive olecranon process, and its posterior margin seems to be mediolaterally pinched. A posteriorly pinched ulna also is present in the dromaeosaurid *Mahakala omnogovae* (Turner et al., 2007, 2012). The ulna is considerably bowed posteriorly (though the distal end has a distinct anterior curvature) which is not known in other dromaeosaurids. There is a strong lateral expansion forming a ball-like structure on the distal end, and it is unlike the anteroposteriorly expanded distal end in *Deinonychus* (Ostrom, 1969).

The radius is a slender bone, with its mid-shaft anteroposterior diameter measuring about one-third of that of the ulna (Fig. 3C). As in *Graciliraptor*, the proximal end is bent anteriorly and the biceps tubercle seems to be small (Xu and Wang, 2004). The shaft of the radius is much wider mediolaterally than anteroposteriorly for most of its length, and its distal portion is twisted and significantly expanded in an anteromedial-posterolateral direction. This morphology results in a proximodistally relatively long anteromedial flange and a short but somewhat hooked posterolateral process.

Three carpals of the left manus are preserved (Fig. 3D). The two proximal ones are the radiale and probably the ulnare. The radiale, which is larger than the ulnare, is a proximodistally relatively flattened element, with a great transverse width. The proximal surface of the radiale is concave, receiving the distal end of the radius. The ulnare is a small, sub-triangular bone. The distal carpal is represented by the compound ‘semilunate’ carpals, formed by the addition of distal carpal 4 on its ventrolateral corner, and this morphology also is present in the troodontid *Mei long* (Xu et al., 2014a). The ‘semilunate’ carpals are fused to metacarpal III and partially to metacarpal II forming a carpometacarpus. The dorsomedial process of the ‘semilunate’ carpals seems to be small, such that the ‘semilunate’ carpals is not as wide as the combined proximal ends of metacarpals II and III, a feature present in several basal pennaraptorans (Sullivan et al., 2011).
Fig. 3 Close-ups of selected parts of appendicular skeleton in *Zhongjianosaurus yangi* holotype
A. right scapulocoracoid in lateral view and furcula in posterior view; B. right humerus in anterior view (arrows indicate internal tuberosity and entepicondyle); C. left ulna and radius in lateral view; D. ‘semilunate’ carpal, metacarpals II and III in ventral view and phalanges II-1 and -2 in lateral view; E. right femur in posterior view; F. left tarsometatarsus in anterior view; G. left pedal phalanges in lateral view (upper arrow indicates the pedal digit III and lower arrow indicates pedal digit IV). Scale bars equal 5 mm.

Metacarpal II is short (about 30% as long as metacarpal III) and relatively slender in ventral view (Fig. 3D). The proximal end is expanded transversely, with its ventrolateral corner extending considerably proximally and ventrally. It has a strongly asymmetrical distal articulation and the lateral hemicondyle is extremely narrow transversely, extending considerably distally and ventrally. Metacarpal III is laterally bowed in ventral view (Fig. 3D).
Its proximal half is similar in transverse width to metacarpal II, but the distal half is much narrower, a feature unknown in other dromaeosaurids (Ostrom, 1969; Senter et al., 2004; Turner et al., 2012). There is a longitudinal groove on the ventral surface of the metacarpal shaft, which vanishes distally close to the distal end where the shaft expands ventrally, resulting in a dorsoventrally (rather than transversely) deeper distal portion of the shaft.

Phalanx II-1 is a slender, ventrally curved bone (Fig. 3D), as in most Jehol dromaeosaurids (Xu, 2002). The combined length of phalanx II-1 and metacarpal II is 92% of metacarpal III length, proportionally smaller than many other Jehol dromaeosaurids (Xu, 2002; Senter et al., 2004). Phalanx II-2 (the ungual) is different from that of many Jehol dromaeosaurids lacking a proximodorsal lip and having only weak dorsal arching (Senter et al., 2004; Turner et al., 2012). Instead, the strongly curved ungual curves more ventrally, so that the tip extends considerably ventral to the flexor tubercle when the proximal articular facet is set vertical.

The hindlimbs are represented by both femora, both tibiotarsi, and portions of both feet (Fig. 3E–G). The femur is bowed slightly anteriorly (Fig. 3E). The stout femoral head has a significant posterior expansion, and it is lower than the trochanteric crest (separated from the latter by a sharp groove along the posterior surface of the femoral proximal end). There is a prominent accessory trochanter, a short posterior trochanter, and a large lateral ridge. The proximal half of the femoral shaft is narrow transversely, and the distal half is much wider, a feature also known in several basal paravians (Hu et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2009b, 2011; Godefroit et al., 2013a, b). The distal end is weakly expanded both anteroposteriorly and mediolaterally.

The proximal tarsals are fused to the tibia to form a tibiotarsus that is approximately 130% of femoral length. The tibiotarsus is constant in transverse width through nearly its entire length, except near the distal end, where it is slightly expanded transversely and seems to have a rectangular cross section. The medial condyle of the distal end of the tibiotarsus extends considerably distally, a feature previously unreported in other dromaeosaurids (Senter et al., 2004; Turner et al., 2012).

The fibula is a long and slender bone, extending distally to contact the calcaneum. About 10 mm distal to the proximal end of the fibula is a large tubercle for m. iliofibularis, and distal to the tubercle, the shaft becomes substantially thinner. The fibular shaft is mostly strap-like, with a shallow longitudinal groove on its medial surface.

The distal tarsals, which are fused to each other, are fused to the proximal ends of metatarsals II–IV, and the latter are fused to each other, though the remainder of metatarsals II–IV are separate (Fig. 3F). The proximal articular surface of the tarsometatarsus bears two shallow (medial and lateral) concavities, separated by an anteroposteriorly oriented low eminence, a feature also present in the tiny alvarezsauroid Xixianykus (Xu et al., 2010). Unlike the condition in Microraptor and some other Jehol dromaeosaurids, there is no prominent proximal projection at the posterolateral corner of proximal end of the tarsometatarsus. In anterior view, proximal ends of metatarsal II and IV contact and are fused to each other, with
metatarsal III failing to contribute to the anterior portion of the proximal end of the metatarsus. That is a derived feature called the “arctometatarsalian” condition known in several theropod clades (Holtz, 1994; Hutchinson and Padian, 1997). As in other Jehol dromaeosaurids (Xu and Wang, 2000; Xu, 2002), there is a longitudinal groove along the proximal half of the anterior surface of the tarsometatarsus, similar to the extensor groove in birds.

Metatarsal II is transversely compressed, with its anteroposterior depth much greater than its transverse width (Fig. 3F). There is a sharp, short flange on the anterior surface 7 mm distal to the proximal end. In anterior view, metatarsal II becomes wider transversely towards its distal end, and in fact the distal one-third of metatarsal II is even wider than the corresponding portions of metatarsals III and IV in anterior view. That feature is similar to (but not to the same degree) the morphology in *Graciliraptor* (Xu and Wang, 2004). The distal end of metatarsal II is not ginglymoid, unlike most other Jehol dromaeosaurids (Xu, 2002; Senter et al., 2004; Turner et al., 2012). The proximal half of metatarsal III is strongly compressed, with a ridge-like anterior surface, and the distal half widens. The distal end of metatarsal III lacks a ginglymus (Fig. 3F), as in many other Jehol dromaeosaurids (Xu, 2002; Senter et al., 2004; Turner et al., 2012). In anterior view, the proximal two-thirds of metatarsal IV are the most robust, as compared to the corresponding regions of metatarsals II and III, but the distal one-third is the most slender.

A part of the left pedal phalanx III-1, the complete left pedal phalanges III-2, -3, and -4, partial left pedal phalanx IV-2, and the nearly complete left pedal phalanges IV-3, -4 and -5 are preserved (Fig. 3G). The pedal phalanges are slender in medial and lateral view. The penultimate phalanges of pedal digits III and IV are longer than the preceding phalanges. A longer pedal III-3 relative to III-2 is common in arboreal birds or taxa that grasp with their feet (Zhou and Farlow, 2001), but is uncommon among non-avian theropods (Xu, 2002; Dececchi and Larsson, 2011). The unguals are moderately curved and bear moderately developed flexor tubercles.

3 Discussion

*Zhongjianosaurus yangi* is referable to the Dromaeosauridae based on the presence of extremely elongated caudal prezygapophyses and chevrons (Norell and Makovicky, 2004; Senter et al., 2004; Turner et al., 2012) and a large fossa on posterior surface of the coracoidal distal ramus. That coracoid character is a previously unnoticed dromaeosaurid synapomorphy which is present in Jehol dromaeosaurids, *Deinonychus* (Ostrom, 1969), and probably other dromaeosaurids as well. This new species can be further assigned to the Microraptorinae based on the following combination of features (Xu, 2002; Senter et al., 2004; Turner et al., 2012): manual digit II short (combined lengths of metacarpal II and phalanx II-1 significantly smaller than the length of metacarpal III); proximal end of metatarsal III strongly pinched; and an asymmetrical metatarsus (slender metatarsal II and robust metatarsal IV). However, *Z. yangi* is clearly distinguishable from other microraptorines in possessing many unique features, most notably a fusion of the proportionally long uncinate processes to dorsal ribs, a large number of
sternal ribs (five), a widely arched furcula with slender and posteriorly curved clavicular rami, a humerus with a humeral head strongly offset from humeral shaft, a short internal tuberosity, a large fenestra within the deltopectoral crest, and a hypertrophied ulnar condyle, a long ulna that is even greater in length than the humerus, phalanx II-2 without a proximodorsal lip and lacking a strong dorsal arching, and an arctometatarsalian pes.

*Zhongjianosaurus yangi* represents the ninth dromaeosaurid species reported from the Jehol Biota, and its discovery has several implications for understanding the taxonomic diversity, morphological disparity, and ecology of the Jehol dromaeosaurids. We briefly discuss these in the following paragraphs.

**Species diversity and morphological disparity of Jehol dromaeosaurids** In addition to *Z. yangi*, eight other dromaeosaurid species have been reported from the Jehol Group (Xu et al., 1999, 2000, 2003; Liu et al., 2004; Xu and Wang, 2004; Zheng et al., 2010; Han et al., 2014; Lü and Brusatte, 2015), though two of them (i.e., *Microraptor gui* and *Sinornithosaurus haoiana*) have been considered invalid by some workers (Senter, 2007; Turner et al., 2012). Most studies consider all Jehol dromaeosaurids to be microraptorines (Turner et al., 2012; Han et al., 2014), though a few studies suggest that *Tianyuraptor* and *Zhenyuanlong* are eudromaeosaurians (Xu, 2016). All Jehol dromaeosaurids are restricted in temporal and geographical distributions (i.e., known only in western Liaoning Province during about 120–125 Ma). They document a rare case of species diversity in a restricted tempo-spatial range in non-avialan theropod evolution, a situation unknown in other non-avialan theropod clades.

The Jehol dromaeosaurids display a wide range of body sizes. For example, the estimated body masses (based on an empirical equation for estimating body mass) for the smallest and largest known dromaeosaurids are 0.20 kg for the *Microraptor zhaoianus* holotype and 12.51 kg for the *Tianyuraptor ostromi* holotype, respectively (Christiansen and Fariña, 2004). However, the *M. zhaoianus* holotype is a late juvenile or subadult individual, and the adult size of *M. zhaoianus* should be much larger. The *Z. yangi* holotype derives from an adult individual, and has an estimated body mass of 0.31 kg. Therefore, this species represents the smallest known (osteologically adult) Jehol dromaeosaurid. The *T. ostromi* holotype, which is more than 40 times as massive as the *Z. yangi* holotype, is not from a fully-grown individual based on some fusion features (e.g., separately preserved tarsals and a separate scapula and coracoid in these specimens), and thus the size disparity between adult individuals of *Z. yangi* and *T. ostromi* should be considerably greater than 40 times. With *Z. yangi* and *T. ostromi* on two ends of the Jehol dromaeosaurid size spectrum, there are many intermediate sizes in between. For example, the estimates of 1.40 kg for the *M. gui* holotype, 3.30 kg for the *Graciliraptor lujiatunensis* holotype, 5.00 kg for the *Sinornithosaurus millenii* holotype, 7.62 kg for the *Changyuraptor yangi* holotype, and 11.77 kg for the *Zhenyuanlong suni* holotype. Consequently, the Jehol dromaeosaurids not only display a great size disparity, but also show a continuous size spectrum.

In addition to the extremely small size, *Z. yangi* also displays many other features unknown in other Jehol dromaeosaurids (Xu, 2002; Senter et al., 2004; Turner et al., 2012).
Many of these features are present in the forelimbs. Most Jehol dromaeosaurids have a proportionally long and robust forelimb, and it is a feature probably related to the aerodynamic function (Xu et al., 2011). However, some species such as *Tianyuraptor* have a short and slender forelimb typical of ground-living cursorial non-avialan theropods (Zheng et al., 2010; Lü and Brusatte, 2015). *Z. yangi* has an unusual combination of forelimb proportion features with its forelimb being nearly as robust as the hindlimb, but proportionally shorter than in many other Jehol dromaeosaurids (Xu, 2002; Senter et al., 2004; Turner et al., 2012). Furthermore, *Z. yangi* displays one unusual limb proportion for a non-avialan theropod. As in many volant birds (Benson and Choiniere, 2013), the ulna is slightly longer than the humerus, and it is in stark contrast to the condition in other non-avialan theropods where the ulna is almost always considerably shorter than the humerus (Benson and Choiniere, 2013). Not only are the forelimb proportions unusual in *Z. yangi*, but some discrete morphological features also are strange suggesting a different function or use of the forelimb. For example, the humerus has a strongly offset humeral head, a large fenestra near the proximal end, and a large ball-like ulnar condyle, the ulna has a somewhat mediolaterally pinched olecranon process and an anteriorly bent distal end with a large lateral expansion, and metacarpal III is laterally bowed and distally narrowed. All of those features are unknown in the forelimbs of other Jehol dromaeosaurids (Xu, 2002; Senter et al., 2004; Turner et al., 2012). Finally, *Z. yangi* displays several features in other body regions which are absent in other Jehol dromaeosaurids: the uncinate processes are proportionally long and fused to the dorsal ribs, the caudal vertebral transitional point is located anteriorly, and the pes exhibits a full arctometatarsalian condition. These morphological features set *Z. yangi* apart from other Jehol dromaeosaurids and have further increased the already-great morphological disparity among the known Jehol dromaeosaurids (Fig. 4).

**Niche differentiation among Jehol dromaeosaurids**  The high species diversity of Jehol dromaeosaurids is partially explicable in light of temporal separation (e.g., *Sinornithosaurus* from the Yixian Formation and *Microraptor* from the Jiufotang Formation), but the temporal separation cannot explain the entire diversity of the Jehol dromaeosaurids. Some Jehol dromaeosaurid species must have co-existed, though a precise tempo-spatial distribution of Jehol dromaeosaurid fossils is not known due to the fact that most of the recovered fossils are from amateur collectors and lack detailed stratigraphic contexts.

The coexistence of several closely related Jehol dromaeosaurids can be interpreted as niche differentiation (Bastolla et al., 2005). There are different ways for competing species to partition their niches in order to coexist, one of which is resource partitioning (e.g., foraging for different foods or living in different habitats). On one hand, the large-sized Jehol dromaeosaurids such as *Tianyuraptor* have limb proportions (e.g., short and slender forelimbs and long and robust hindlimbs) and dental morphologies (e.g, blade-like teeth) typical of non-avialan carnivorous theropods, suggesting that they were ground-living cursorial predators. On the other hand, the small-sized Jehol dromaeosaurids such as *Microraptor* are more likely to have been arboreal or even gliding animals (Xu et al., 2000, 2014b; Chatterjee and Templin, 2007; Alexander et al.,
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Fig. 4  Skeletal and life reconstruction of Zhongjianosaurus yangi

2010) as indicated by modified shoulder girdle and long and robust forelimbs, and they likely had an omnivorous diet as indicated by their troodontid-like dentition (Holtz et al., 1998). These diet and habitat use differences could reflect niche differentiation among Jehol dromaeosaurids, and have allowed for the coexistence of these closely related species.

Even among closely-related species occupying similar niches, there might be niche differentiation at an even finer level, which is enough for the coexistence of closely related species. For example, a few sympatric species of Galapagos finches consume seeds of different types and sizes, using beaks with different sizes and shapes (Grant, 1999; Grant and Grant, 2006; Lamichhaney et al., 2015). Among small-sized dromaeosaurids such as Zhongjianosaurus and Microraptor, Microraptor had a body mass more than four times that of Z. yangi. The former also is different from the latter in some limb features, suggesting a different locomotor style. Among typical carnivorous species such as Sinornithosaurus and Tianyuraptor, the size difference (the latter more than twice the mass of the former) and locomotory difference (the latter with forelimbs much shorter and more slender than the former) are apparent. These data suggest that niche differentiation of Jehol dromaeosaurids occurred in different ways (dietary partitioning vs. habitat partitioning) or at different levels (foraging on different-sized foods of the same category), though a full understanding of niche differentiation of Jehol dromaeosaurids will require a full dataset of the tempo-spatial distribution of Jehol dromaeosaurid fossils and a better understanding of the ecology of the Jehol dromaeosaurids.
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