Synchrotron X-ray tomographic microscopy reveals histology and internal structure of Galeaspida (Agnatha)
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Abstract  Synchrotron Radiation X-ray Tomographic Microscopy (SRXTM) is a powerful non-destructive method in paleontology, providing ultra-high-resolution 3D insights into the internal structure of fossils. Employing SRXTM, the skull specimens of Shuyu zhejiangensis, a 428 million-year-old galeaspid from the Silurian of Changxing, Zhejiang Province, are investigated. The subsequent analyses indicate that the endoskeletal skull of S. zhejiangensis is composed wholly of cartilage without convincing evidence for the presence of perichondral bone. The cranial anatomy of S. zhejiangensis are unusually preserved in three dimensions largely due to the non-random decay of the cartilaginous braincase and its connecting ‘soft’ tissues. Using AMIRA or AVIZO software, seven virtual 3D endocasts of the skull of S. zhejiangensis were created revealing the gross internal cranial anatomy of galeaspids in great detail for the first time. The preliminary results indicate that during evolution the galeaspid head experienced a fundamental reorganization resulting in the development of jaws.
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1 Introduction

Synchrotron Radiation X-ray Tomographic Microscopy (SRXTM) has been a powerful non-destructive method in paleontology providing ultra-high-resolution 3D insights into the internal structure of fossils (Stampani et al., 2006; Tafforeau et al., 2006; Garwood et al., 2010). For example, the crania of vertebrates are rich sources of morphological data for building hypotheses of relationships of vertebrates (Davis et al., 2012). However, most cranial data are hidden in a cartilaginous or bony braincase, and are hard to be observed directly by traditional methods. The powerful SRXTM technique not only enables the internal anatomy
of a braincase to be characterized in unprecedented detail (Cunningham et al., 2014), but also provides more or less accurate reconstructions of histology, biostratinomic and diagenetic processes (Lukeneder, 2012; Qu et al., 2015). Nowadays, SRXTM has achieved sub-micron or nanometer resolution to unlock the potential of fossils, enhancing our understanding of the history of life. Here, we employed SRXTM at Swiss Light Source (SLS), in the Paul Scherrer Institute, Zurich, Switzerland to present preliminary results of an investigation of skulls of *Shuyu zhejiangensis* Pan, 1986, a 428 million-year-old galeaspid from the Early Silurian of Changxing, Zhejiang Province, Southeast China (Pan, 1986; Gai et al., 2005, 2011).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

In this investigation, we selected seven three-dimensionally (3D) preserved skulls of the agnathan galeaspid *S. zhejiangensis* (IVPP V 14334.1–7) (Fig. 1) for the SRXTM investigation.
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Fig. 1 Seven three-dimensionally preserved skulls of *Shuyu zhejiangensis*
A–G. Specimen V 14334.1–7 used for the SRXTM analysis, housed in IVPP, Scale bars=2 mm

Abbreviations: f.pi. pineal fossa; ie. inner ear
to elucidate their histology and internal structure of skull. The specimens were collected from the lower part of the Maoshan Formation (late Llandovery to early Wenlock epochs, Lower Silurian, ~428 Mya) in Changxing District, northwestern Zhejiang Province, Southeast China. The most recent phylogeny of Galeaspida indicates that *S. zhejiangensis* occupies the most basal position of Eugaleaspiformes (Zhu and Gai, 2006). Therefore, the skull of *S. zhejiangensis* would stand a good chance of representing a primitive condition for the cranial anatomy of galeaspids. Although the external morphology of *S. zhejiangensis* has been fully described since 1986 (Pan, 1986; Gai et al., 2005), its internal cranial anatomy remains poorly known and awaits SRXTM investigation.

The adult skull of *S. zhejiangensis* is about 1 cm×1 cm in the horizontal plane and, about 5 mm in height, which is an ideal size for the SRXTM investigation. All fossils are housed at the Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology (IVPP), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing.

2.2 Methods

We employed SRXTM to provide a noninvasive scanning of our specimens on the TOMCAT beamline (a beamline for Tomographic Microscopy and Coherent Radiology experiments) at SLS, in the Paul Scherrer Institute, Zurich, Switzerland (Fig. 2A). Analyses at the TOMCAT beamline are routinely performed in an energy range of 8–45 keV providing an isotropic voxel size ranging from 0.37–14.8 µm for various materials and applications (Marone et al., 2011). The scanning system is composed of the synchrotron radiation facility (Fig. 2C, left part), a sample holder equipped with a highly accurate automation system (Fig. 2B).
2C, middle part), a scintillator (Fig. 2C, scintillator) converting X-rays into visible light, a light microscope optic magnification system (Fig. 2C, Objective) and a detector system (Fig. 2C, right part), all of which are mounted on a Newport breadboard that can be precisely adjusted in the vertical direction (Stampanoni et al., 2002, 2006).

The only preparative work before scanning was removal of surrounding rock from the fossil specimens using an automatic cutting machine at IVPP. The prepared specimen was attached to a 10 mm-diameter brass stub using nail polish or wax. The scans were performed at energy of 35 keV yielding a highly collimated, thick, monochromatic X-ray beam. Photons transmitted from the sample were converted to visible light by a scintillator screen. The image can then be magnified by different light objectives with fields of view ranging from 0.75 mm×0.75 mm up to 30 mm×30 mm. In our practice, we used a PLAPO 2×objective (7.15 mm×7.15 mm field of view) to achieve the highest resolution. Although some parts of the skull of *S. zhejiangensis* (about 10 mm×10 mm) lay outside the field of view, most of cranial structure was captured. The detector was a fast readout low-noise CCD digital camera, which can record 2048×2048 pixels full frame images in 260 ms with a nominal 14 bits. Therefore, the theoretical pixel size was 3.5 μm. The bandwidth of the parallel X-ray beam is 7 mm, which allowed us to measure most of specimens in one tomographic scan. Only specimen V 14334.5 (Fig. 1E) exceeded the vertical dimensions of the viewing field; for this we performed two separate scans that are stacked in the dorsal-ventral plain. The two successive scans were then set together and the overlapping slices were removed in AVIZO or AMIRA software.

Each specimen was scanned with an angular increment of 0.12° over a total rotation range of 180° to produce 1501 projections for each scan volume, with 100 ms of exposure time, online post-processed and rearranged into flat- and darkfield-corrected sinograms. Reconstruction was performed on a 32-node Linux PC farm using highly optimized filtered back-projection routines. Thus, the full volumetric information was available within a few minutes of the end of the scan. The original reconstructed 32 bits, 2048×2048 pixels slices were converted to 8 bits, 1024×1024 pixel slices to reduce the data size for 3D processing, but spatial resolution (7 μm for an isotropic pixel size) were sufficient for our study. Slice data derived from the scans were then analyzed and manipulated using AVIZO <www.vsg3d.com> or AMIRA <www.amira.com> software for 3D digital visualization on a Hewlett Packard (HP) Workstation with a 2-GHz Intel processor and 16 GB of random-access memory (RAM) at the University of Bristol. This software allowed the entire data set of approximately 1 GB to be held in memory and allowed examination of the data in three orthogonal planes simultaneously. Reconstructions were generated, in the first instance, by thresholding data across a gray scale to obtain the overall three-dimensional morphology. The internal anatomy was labeled slice by slice manually in different color materials so that different anatomy structures were individually colored to aid interpretation. A series of fixed angle visualizations including lateral, dorsal, medial, anterior, ventral, posterior, and various clippings were obtained for each specimen. In addition, the software was used to generate a series of three-dimensional
animations which can be viewed in stereo using 3D glasses. Several solid 3D models have been printed through a 3D printing system of Objet Geometries Ltd. The 3D animation and physical model can be used as museum exhibits as well as being valuable in research.

3 Results

3.1 Post-mortem preservation of cartilaginous skull

The head-shield of galeaspids was composed of a surface layer of dermal bone and a massive cartilaginous endoskeleton (Janvier, 1996, 2001). In most conditions, only the dermal head-shield is preserved, whereas the cartilaginous skull decayed entirely in post-mortem taphonomic processes. The specimens of *S. zhejiangensis* are unusual in that the cartilaginous skull has been exceptionally preserved in three dimensions. The SRXTM slices revealed two levels of post-mortem preservation of the cartilaginous skull: 1) the endoskeletal cartilage disappeared completely, but a sedimentary endocast was left as in specimen V 14334.1, 3. In this case, the morphology of the cartilage can be inferred from the space between the sedimentary endocast
and the dermal head-shield, and is referred to as ‘decayed cartilage’ here (Fig. 3A–F); 2) the endoskeletal cartilage has been diagenetically replaced by dense minerals (probably iron oxide) (Fig. 3A, B, diagenetic cartilage) or by less dense sediments (Fig. 3D, diagenetic cartilage), which is referred to here as ‘diagenetic cartilage’. In SRXTM slices, the ‘diagenetic cartilage’ is shown as bright white material (Fig. 3A, B, diagenetic cartilage), whereas the sedimentary endocast is shown as gray-black material (Fig. 3A–F, sedimentary endocast).

3.2 No evidence for the presence of perichondral bone

The exquisite cranial anatomy of Paleozoic fishes can be known largely due to the presence of perichondral bone (Stensiö, 1927, 1969; Jarvik, 1944; Janvier, 1981a; Young, 1979, 2008; Chang, 1982; Dupret et al., 2010, 2014; Lu et al., 2012; Giles and Friedman, 2014; Giles et al., 2015). Perichondral bone is a connective tissue layer of dense cells on the growing surface of the cartilage (Young, 2008), which is easy to be ossified as concentric layers of bone lining the cavities and canals, whereas unmineralized endoskeletons rarely fossilized. These delicate tubes and cavities in the braincase lined by the perichondral bone preserve the outline of the soft tissues such as cranial nerve, brain, sensory organs, and arteries. The perichondral bone has been known widely distributed in major groups of jawed vertebrates such as placoderms (Fig. 4A) (Young, 1979, 2008; Dupret et al., 2010, 2014), acanthodians (Burrow et al., 2012; Burrow and Turner, 2010), sarcopterygians (Fig. 4B) (Chang, 1982; Lu et al., 2012), and actinopterygians (Fig. 4C) (Giles and Friedman, 2014; Giles et al., 2015), but is unknown in chondrichthians, which have a fragile layer of prismatic calcified cartilage, rather than perichondral bone, lining the endoskeletal elements (Maisey, 2005; Maisey et al., 2009; Pradel et al., 2009, Brazeau and Friedman, 2014). By contrast, the presence of perichondral bone in jawless vertebrates seems restricted to osteostracans (Stensiö, 1927; Janvier, 1981a). Its presence in galeaspids is still a controversial issue because of the poor preservation and extensive recrystallization of the fossil specimens (Janvier, 1981b, 1984, 1990, 1996; Zhu and Janvier, 1998; Wang et al., 2005). It has been assumed to be present in galeaspids for a long time solely based on a mineralized cranial endoskeleton as in osteostracans (Janvier, 1981b, 1984, 1996). Janvier (1990) observed some patches of perichondral bone underlying the dermoskeleton and ossifying the plexus of subaponeurotic vascular canals, but no endoskeleton was preserved. Zhu and Janvier (1998) provided the first microstructural evidence for a ‘perichondral layer’ lining the calcified cartilage in galeaspids. However, Wang et al. (2005) pointed out that the so-called ‘perichondral layer’ in galeaspids compared favorably to the hypocalcified zone of dominantly spherite mineralization immediately underlying the dermoskeleton. They concluded that the extensive endoskeletal mineralization of galeaspids appears to be composed wholly of calcified cartilage, a more generalized tissue within the vertebrate endoskeleton.

In addition to the light microscopy and scanning electron microscopy, the perichondral bone has been captured in recent years by the high-resolution MicroCT or SRXTM, such as in placoderm Romundina (Fig. 4A), sarcopterygian Youngolepis (Fig. 4B), actinopterygian Kentuckia (Fig. 4C), and modern actinopterygian Siniperca (Fig. 4D). In this study, the
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Fig. 4 Perichondral bone captured by MicroCT or SRXTM in major groups of jawed vertebrates (cross section through braincase)

A. placoderms (*Romundina*), the SRXTM scan reveals a characteristically placoderm architecture for the endoskeleton, with a well-developed perichondral bone lining the cavities or capsules in the braincase (picture courtesy of Prof. Per Ahlberg); B. sarcopterygians (*Youngolepis*), the MicroCT scan reveals perichondral bone is well preserved, but endochondral bone and the cavities or capsules in the braincase were replaced by sediments (picture courtesy of Prof. Mee-mann Chang); C. a fossil actinopterygian (*Kentuckia*), the MicroCT scan reveals well-developed perichondral bone and extensive spongy endochondral ossification (picture courtesy of Dr. Sam Giles); D. a modern actinopterygian (*Siniperca*), the MicroCT scan reveals a lacunaris endochodral bone and well-developed perichondral bone lining the cavities or capsules in the braincase. Not to scale

The presence of perichondral bone in galeaspids is examined using SRXTM. The SRXTM slices of *S. zhejiangensis* indicate that there is no convincing evidence for the presence of perichondral bone in galeaspids. Two principal sources of evidence support this conclusion: 1) no clear perichondral bone surrounding the margin of the mineralized cartilage in specimen V 14334.2 (Fig. 3A, B), especially no perichondral bone lining the sensory canals in specimen V 14334.4 (Fig. 3D) where the cartilage and sensory canal are replaced by diagenetic sediment; 2) a ‘perichondral’ layer lining the cavities, canals, and capsules was observed in some specimens such as V 14334.3 (Fig. 3E, F), a condition reminiscent of the perichondral bone of osteostracans (Stensiö, 1927; Janvier, 1981a) and placoderms (Young, 2008; Dupret et al., 2010; Brazeau and Friedman, 2014). However, the ‘perichondral’ layer in *Shuyu* is much thicker (about 3–4 times) than the normal perichondral bone in major jawed vertebrates (Figs. 3, 4) and the X-ray density contrast of the ‘perichondral’ layer in *Shuyu* is also the same as that of the sedimentary endocast in other places (Fig. 3E, F).

Further tomographic analysis by us suggests that the ‘perichondral’ layer of *Shuyu* is diagenetic, rather than of biological origin. Given that the ‘perichondral’ layer was formed by perichondral bone, its inner surface should reflect the natural margin of the cranial anatomy.
However, the virtual 3D reconstruction reveals that its outer surfaces reflect a natural biological margin, whereas the inner surface is a random margin without biological meaning. Therefore, this ‘perichondral’ layer is a sedimentary deposit along the inner surface of the endoskeletal cartilage rather than true perichondral bone.

3.3 Why cranial anatomy of galeaspids can be exquisitely preserved in absence of perichondral bone

It is intriguing to discuss the reason that the cranial anatomy of *Shuyu* has been exquisitely preserved since apparently no perichondral bone was involved in its preservation. Decay experiments of living hagfishes and lampreys indicate that the cartilage components of the vertebrate skull undergo repeated patterns of change: the connecting ‘soft’ elements are lost early, whilst cartilaginous braincases are relatively decay resistant and retain their shape for a longer time (Sansom et al., 2010, 2011, 2013). The endoskeletal skull of galeaspids is a single mass of cartilage enclosing the brain, cranial nerves, paired sensory organs, and cephalic vascular canals (Janvier, 1996, 2001). The small head-shield of *Shuyu* must have experienced rapid post-mortem burial because most specimens were collected from a deltaic thin-bedded coarse sandstone which reflected a strong hydrodynamic environment. One can speculate that the exquisitely preserved cranial anatomy of *Shuyu* probably underwent three stages: 1) the soft tissues such as brain, sensory organs, nerve fibers, vascular canals and muscles
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**Fig. 5** Formation of a sedimentary (H) or virtual (I) endocast of *Shuyu*

A. skull after soft tissues decayed, cartilaginous endoskeleton (yellow), dermal head-shield (blue); B. spaces and canals in endoskeleton diagenetically infilled with dense sediment or minerals (probably iron, iron oxide, brown) along the inner surface of the cartilage; C. a sediment or mineral endocast (brown) formed; D. cartilaginous endoskeleton decays away completely; E. sediment or mineral endocast; F. dermal head-shield; G. a dermal head-shield specimen (V 14334.1a); H. a sediment or mineral endocast specimen (V 14334.1b) after removing its overlying dermal head-shield (G, V 14334.1a); I. a virtual endocast reconstructed from H (V 14334.1b). Not to scale
decayed away very quickly after the death of *Shuyu*, spaces and canals occupied by these soft tissues were left in the cartilaginous endoskeleton (Fig. 5A); 2) with these spaces and canals diagenetically infilled with dense sediments or minerals (probably iron oxide) along the inner surface of the cartilage, a natural endocast would have formed in the second stage (Fig. 5B, C); 3) much later, the cartilaginous endoskeleton also decayed away completely (Fig. 5D), and the secondary mineral or sediment replica of the internal anatomy (Fig. 5E, H) and the dermal head-shield were preserved (Fig. 5F, G). In this way, the exquisite preservation of the cranial anatomy of galeaspids can be inferred from the spaces in the endoskeleton lined by diagenetic mineralization, or their natural sedimentary cast ('endocast'). Only in rare conditions was the cartilage itself diagenetically replaced by sediments or minerals, as in specimen V 14334.4 (diagenetic cartilage, Fig. 4D).

If the spaces in the endoskeleton are filled with a physical (e.g. wax) or virtual material (Fig. 6A), a solid or virtual endocast will be produced (Figs. 5I, 6B, C).

### 3.4 The virtual 3D model of the skull of *Shuyu*

The virtual 3D model of the *Shuyu* skull was created using AMIRA or AVIZO software. Thus, the gross internal cranial anatomy of galeaspids has been three-dimensionally visualized
in detail for the first time based on seven skulls of *S. zhejiangensis*. The SRXTM analysis indicates that the neurocranium of galeaspids is a median cartilaginous endoskeleton without any fissures. Laterally, it incorporates the splanchnocranium (gill arches) to form a massive endoskeletal skull overlying the oralobranchial chamber (orange) (Fig. 6B, C). The neurocranium encloses complex cavities, canals, and capsules for the brain (dark blue, Fig. 6B, C), cranial nerves (dark, light blue, green, Fig. 6B, C), cephalic blood vessels (red, light blue, Fig. 6B, C), and paired sensory organs including nasal capsules (orange, Fig. 6B, C), eyes (light blue, Fig. 6B, C) and inner ears (yellow, Fig. 6B, C). The virtual endocasts of these cavities, canals, and capsules are interpreted to closely reflect the actual morphology of brain, nerves, sensory organs and blood vessels, since they show detailed aspects of the cranial morphology as has been generally assumed in osteostracans.

Preliminary analysis of the *Shuyu* endocasts indicates that the head of galeaspids experienced a fundamental reorganization: the paired nasal sacs are located laterally in the anterior part of the braincase, and the hypophyseal duct opens anteriorly and separately towards the oronasal cavity, an intermediate condition that current developmental models regard as a prerequisite for the development of jaws (Gai et al., 2011; Gai and Zhu, 2012). The description of the gross cranial anatomy of *Shuyu* will be the subject of subsequent publications including a detailed monograph.

4 Conclusions

1) SRXTM has become a powerful tool in paleontology, providing 3D tomographic images at sub-micron or nanometer resolution without damaging fossil samples.

2) SRXTM analysis of *Shuyu zhejiangensis* indicates that there is no convincing evidence for the presence of perichondral bone in galeaspids. The cranial anatomy of galeaspids had been unusually preserved in three dimensions largely due to the non-random decay of the cartilaginous braincase and its connecting ‘soft’ tissues.

3) Virtual taphonomy using SRXTM explains why cranial anatomy of galeaspids can be exquisitely preserved in absence of perichondral bone.

4) The application of SRXTM to the study of the *Shuyu* head has enabled the three-dimensional visualization of the gross cranial anatomy of galeaspids in great detail for the first time. The virtual 3D endocast of *Shuyu* indicates that the head of galeaspids had experienced fundamental reorganization prerequisite for the development of jaws.
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同步辐射X射线断层显微成像
揭示无颌类盔甲鱼的组织学与内部解剖

盖志琨
(中国科学院古脊椎动物与古人类研究所，中国科学院脊椎动物演化与人类起源重点实验室 北京 100044)

摘要：同步辐射X射线断层显微成像已经成为古生物研究中一种新的重要手段，能够在不损坏化石的前提下，提供化石内部超高分辨率的三维成像。利用瑞士光源最先进的同步辐射X射线断层显微成像技术，研究分析了七个采自浙江长兴志留系（约4.28亿年前）的早期盔甲鱼类浙江曙鱼三维立体保存脑颅化石。同步辐射X射线显微成像结果显示：盔甲鱼脑颅完全由软骨组成，并没有软骨外成骨存在的证据；盔甲鱼脑颅解剖结构能够被精细的保存下来，很大程度上归功于脑颅软骨与周围软组织在埋藏过程中的异时分解。利用AMIRA或AVIZO等计算机三维虚拟复原软件，三维虚拟复原了七个曙鱼脑颅模型，首次揭示出盔甲鱼脑颅内部详细的解剖结构。初步研究结果显示盔甲鱼的脑颅已经发生了显著的重组，具备了颌发育所必需的先决条件。

关键词：同步辐射，显微成像，早期脊椎动物，盔甲鱼，软骨外成骨，脑颅虚拟内模
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